Securing Web Applications with Predicate Access Control

Zhaomo Yang Kirill Levchenko





Observation 1: Vulnerabilities

Web applications are broken. There are so many vulnerabilities!

Observation 2: Access Control

Traditional trust boundary



Observation 2: Access Control



Observation 2: Access Control



Previous Work

- Nemesis [4]
- GuardRails [5]
- CLAMP [6]
- Authorization views [1]
- Parametrized views with authentication token [2]
- Oracle's Virtual Private Database

EBay asks 145 million users to change passwords after cyber attack

BY JIM FINKLE, SOHAM CHATTERJEE AND LEHAR MAAN BOSTON/BANGALORE Wed May 21, 2014 4:25pm EDT App Behind The Snapchat Leak Admits It Was Hacked, Apologizes

Posted: 10/13/2014 1:32 pm EDT Updated: 10/13/2014 1:32 pm EDT

GitHub hacked, millions of projects at risk of being modified or deleted

By Sebastian Anthony on March 5, 2012 at 7:22 am 22 Comments

Magento Go vulnerability allows hackers to bypass the authentication by creating administrator account

Posted by snoopy On February 15, 2014 In Vulnerability No comments

50,000 sites hacked through WordPress plug-in vulnerability





What hinders the adoption of security mechanisms for web applications?

Hypothesis

To be widely adopted, security mechanisms must be first and foremost easy to understand and use for developers.

The plan

- Build it
 - we built a security mechanism with usability in mind.
- Field it
 - make it available to open source web app communities
- Evaluate
 - measure adoption and efficacy

Build it

Build a mechanism **in DBMS** which protects app users from each other.

Structure of Web Apps



Build it - Authentication

Problem: DBMS has no notion of application

users.

We need to authenticate application users to DBMS

Toy Example

GradeBook

- Student: view his/her grades
- Teacher: view/post/update/ students' grades

Authentication in Web Apps

In web apps, authentication usually involves

- Get user's id and password;
- Query table to see if it is a registered application user;
- We call it the "authentication query".
- If it is, save the identity; If not, deny login.

Example of Authentication Query

SELECT user_id, instr FROM Users WHERE user_name = \$1 AND pass_hash = SHA2(pass_salt || \$2);

Build it - Authentication

Now our problem becomes: how to let the DBMS know the current application user's identity.

Build it - Authentication Function

CREATE AUTHENTICATION FUNCTION

Requirement:

• Wrap the authentication query which returns the identity of current application user

Example of Authentication function

CREATE AUTHENTICATION FUNCTION Auth(TEXT, TEXT) RETURNS TABLE (user_id INTEGER, instr BOOLEAN) AS \$\$

SELECT user_id, instr FROM Users WHERE user_name = \$1 AND pass_hash = SHA2(pass_salt || \$2); \$\$ LANGUAGE SQL;

Build it - Access Control

• Traditional SQL GRANT statement

GRANT [privilege] ON [table] TO [database user];

E.g.

GRANT SELECT ON grades TO gradebook;

Build it - Access Control

• GRANT-WHERE statement proposed by [3]

GRANT [privilege] ON [table] TO [database user] USING [referenced tables ...] WHERE [row-level predicate];

E.g.

GRANT SELECT ON grades TO gradebook USING Auth WHERE Auth.instr = false AND Auth.user_id = grades.user_id;

Our Mechanism - Trust Boundary

Traditional trust boundary



Implementation

We implemented the mechanism as an extension to PostgreSQL 9.2

- Temporary Rules
- Compiler

Implementation - Temporary Rules

• Rule System in PostgreSQL

In PostgreSQL, every query is rewritten against the rules defined on the same table

Implementation - Translation

• How a GRANT-WHERE statement is translated

GRANT UPDATE ON Grades TO Gradebook

USING Auth



WHERE Auth.instr;

CREATE RULE _UPDATE AS ON UPDATE TO Grades WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT 1 FROM Auth WHERE current_user = Gradebook AND Auth.instr) DO INSTEAD NOTHING;

Implementation - Compiler



Developers

PostgreSQL & the additional tool

Evaluation

- Criteria: Is it expressive enough?
- Used our mechanism to protect Drupal 7.1 and Spree e-commerce

Evaluation

GRANT SELECT ON spree_payments TO mystore USING Auth, spree_orders WHERE Auth.is_admin = true OR (spree_orders.user_id = Auth.user_id AND spree_payments.order_id = spree_orders.id);

Evaluation - Performance

Task	Without policy	With policy
Drupal view article	0.44 s	0.54 s
Drupal edit article	1.29 s	1.61 s
Spree buy item	18.40 s	24.12 s

Evaluation - Result

App. Name	CVE #	Description
Drupal	CVE-2012-1590	User permissions are not checked properly for unpublished forum nodes, which allows remote authenticated users to obtain sensitive information such as the post title via the forum overview page.
Drupal	CVE-2012-2153	node_access is not added to queries thus queries are not rewritten properly and restrictions of content access module are ignored.
Drupal	CVE-2011-2687	Proper tables are not joined when node access queries are rewritten thus access restrictions of content access module are ignored.
Spree	No CVE ID	By passing a crafted string to the API as the API token, a user may authenticate as a random user, potentially an administrator.
Spree	CVE-2013-2506	Mass assignment is not performed safely, which allows remote authenticated users to assign any roles to themselves.

The plan

- Build it
 - we built a security mechanism with usability in mind.
- Field it
 - make it available to open source web app communities
- Evaluate
 - measure adoption and efficacy

Thanks!

Reference

[1] S. Rizvi, A. Mendelzon, S. Sudarshan, and P. Roy. Extending Query Rewriting Techniques for Fine-Grained Access Control. In

Proceedings of the 2004 ACM SIGMOD international conference on Management of Data, pages 551–562, June 2004.

[2] A. Roichman and E. Gudes. Fine-grained Access Control to Web Databases. In Proceedings of the 12th ACM Symposium on Access Control Models and Technologies (SACMAT), pages 31–40, June 2007.

[3] S. Chaudhuri, T. Dutta, and S. Sudarashan. Fine Grained Authorization Through Prediidation Vulnerabilities in Web Applications.

cated Grants. In Proceedings of the 23rd IEEE International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE), pages 1174–1183, April 2007.

[4] M. Dalton, C. Kozyrakis, and N. Zeldovich. Nemesis: Preventing Authentication & Access Control Vulnerabilities in Web Applications. In Proceedings of the 18th USENIX Security Symposium, August 2009.

[5] J. Burket, P. Mutchler, M. Weaver, M. Zaveri, and D. Evans. GuardRails: A Data-Centric Web Application Security Framework. In Proceedings of the 2nd USENIX Conference on Web Application Development (WebApps), June 2011.

[6] B. Parno, J. McCune, D. Wendlandt, D. Andersen, and A. Perrig. CLAMP: Practical Prevention of Large-Scale Data Leaks. In Proceedings of the 30th IEEE Symposium on Security & Privacy, pages 154–169, 2009.

[7] J. Tudor, Web ApplicationVulnerability Statistics 2013, Context Information Security, June 2013.